



सत्यमेव जयते

D.O. No.:

CMO/2025/61

Date.:

05/01/2025

Dear Sir,

I am writing to urgently draw your immediate attention towards a significant and alarming surge in voter addition and deletion applications in the New Delhi Assembly Constituency over the past few days. For your ready reference, a snapshot of these applications is enclosed below:

AC No.	AC Name	Date	Form 6 received
40	New Delhi	29 Oct to 15 Dec	2776
40	New Delhi	16-Dec	234
40	New Delhi	17-Dec	298
40	New Delhi	18-Dec	302
40	New Delhi	19-Dec	321
40	New Delhi	20-Dec	328
40	New Delhi	21-Dec	391
40	New Delhi	22-Dec	440
40	New Delhi	23-Dec	701
40	New Delhi	24-Dec	1103
40	New Delhi	25-Dec	982
40	New Delhi	26-Dec	989
40	New Delhi	27-Dec	547
40	New Delhi	28-Dec	737
40	New Delhi	29-Dec	734
40	New Delhi	30-Dec	779
40	New Delhi	31-Dec	654
40	New Delhi	1-Jan	424
40	New Delhi	2-Jan	536
		<b>Total</b>	<b>13,276</b>

Contd..2..



-2-

AC No.	AC Name	Date	Form 7 received
40	New Delhi	29 Oct to 16 Dec	908
40	New Delhi	17-Dec	131
40	New Delhi	18-Dec	370
40	New Delhi	19-Dec	1527
40	New Delhi	20-Dec	363
40	New Delhi	21-Dec	700
40	New Delhi	22-Dec	341
40	New Delhi	23-Dec	159
40	New Delhi	24-Dec	518
40	New Delhi	25-Dec	675
40	New Delhi	26-Dec	215
40	New Delhi	27 Dec to 2 Jan	259
		<b>Total</b>	<b>6,166</b>

Total no of votes, as per draft electoral rolls published after summary revision on 29<sup>th</sup> October 2024, is 1,06,873. Number of votes sought to be deleted is 6,166, which is 5.77% of total votes.

As per various rules, if number of deletions sought is more than 2% of total votes, then the following process has to be followed -

1. ERO will personally verify each deletion request.
2. Those requests found by ERO to be correct after field verification will be sent notices of hearing by the ERO.

These rules have to be followed in the instant case as more than 2% votes are sought to be deleted in New Delhi Assembly.

However, these rules are not being followed. ERO has not made any field visit (he just made one visit on 27<sup>th</sup> December 2024 to H Block Type 1 Kali Bari and Kali Bari Jhuggi area along with our BLA and came back without doing any verification). ERO has proceeded to make deletions without any field visits despite our reminding the ERO and DEO through several oral and written requests reminding them of the rule position. ERO says that it is not physically possible for him to personally do so many field verifications.

Contd..3.



-3-

ERO sent notices to 2900 voters inviting them for personal hearings on 2, 3, 4 and 6 January to his office. He invited objectors also to these hearings. We wish to state that these notices are void ab initio as they have been sent by ERO without doing personal field verifications. No hearings can be conducted on the basis of these notices. We brought this to the notice of DEO and ERO. However, they refused to listen and proceeded with the hearings.

On 2<sup>nd</sup> Jan, 3<sup>rd</sup> Jan and 4<sup>th</sup> Jan i.e. the first, second and third day of hearing, the following objectors turned up -

1. Mr. Tarun Kumar Choutala resident of NDMC Quarters, Khan Market and a student of University of Delhi had received 85 notices of hearing (as the Objector), appeared on hearing on 2<sup>nd</sup> Jan, on further checking the AERO found that in total 108 applications have been made in his name. Tarun gave a written application stating that he has not made even a single application for deletion of voters. Tarun again appeared on 4<sup>th</sup> Jan 2025 to submit 19 more notice of hearing received by him.
2. Ms. Usha Devi a resident of Gole Market received 22 notices of hearing (as the objector). She appeared for hearing on 2<sup>nd</sup> Jan. She also gave a written application stating that she has not made even a single application for deletion of voters.
3. Similarly, 3 other objectors appeared between 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> Jan – Ms. Sunita, Mr. Vipin and Ms. Monika also had received several notices of hearing. All of them gave written applications that they have not filed any single application for deletion of names from the voter list. When the details of these 3 objectors were sought by us, during the course of the hearing, the ERO denied providing such details.
4. Today, that is the 4<sup>th</sup> Jan 2025, 6 objectors appeared at the hearing whose details are - Mr. Raj Kumar (205/ 37A, T Huts, Laxmi Bai Nagar; 9971814953) who submitted 5 notices of hearing and had in total 88 applications submitted against his name; Ms. Mamta Kumari (D2-115, D-II Flats, Kaka Nagar; 9013664551) submitted 34 notices of hearing and had in total 60 applications submitted against her name; Ms. Jyoti (4/C, Type-II Qtrs, Sector-II, DIZ Area Peshwa Road) submitted 15 notices of hearing and had in total 125 applications submitted against her name; Ms. Ruchi Tiwari (8700186749) submitted 13 notices of hearing and had in total 25 applications submitted against her name; Mr. Pankaj submitted 1 notices of hearing and Mr. Neeraj submitted 2 notices of hearing.

All of these objectors outrightly denied filing any deletion applications (form 7) that were mentioned against their names. Their said denial has been noted in writing at the said hearing.

Contd..4..



-4-

In another shocking incident, we found that two Form 7 applications were filed seeking to delete the vote of Smt. Anita Singh wife of AAP MP Shri. Sanjay Singh, a voter of New Delhi Assembly Constituency. Subsequently, when our party conducted investigations in this regard, it was found that both the objectors (one

Ms. Madhu and one Ms. Suresh Devi) under whose name the deletion applications (Form 7) were filed had outrightly denied filing such applications. Ms. Suresh Devi herself appeared before the AERO on 6<sup>th</sup> Jan 2025 and submitted 27 more notices of hearing.

The above clearly shows that ALL objectors, who turned up denied having made any requests for deletions. This means that someone is misusing identities of several people and is making such fake and forged requests. Therefore, this ought to be investigated.

During the course of last few days, AAP MP Raghav Chadha has been regularly meeting the DEO and ERO of the New Delhi Assembly Constituency on the said issues. In a shocking reply to our MP's concerns regarding the genuity of Objectors, we were utterly shocked when the DEO and ERO declared that they would treat the objectors, who did not turn up, as genuine and will continue with process to make deletions on the basis of their requests. How could the DEO and ERO do this when on the face of it, ALL objectors who have turned up so far, have been found to be fake?

Our Rajya Sabha MP Raghav Chadha demanded that rather than going ahead with the hearings of objectees, the genuineness of objectors be investigated first. To this, the DEO and ERO strongly refused. Our MP Raghav Chadha, on behalf of the Aam Aadmi Party, offered our services to do the investigations. We requested that the address of all objectors be provided to all political parties and let all parties investigate and report back in a transparent manner. DEO and ERO rejected our request. They said that this would compromise the "privacy" of objectors. We told him that during hearings, the objectors turn up personally. So, how would providing their addresses compromise their privacy? Especially when ALL of those who turned up have been found to be fake? If DEO and ERO were not willing to give us addresses, our MP Raghav Chadha demanded that the DEO and ERO themselves first conduct investigations of all objectors before proceeding with the process of deletions on the basis of their applications. DEO and ERO rejected this request also on the premise that there was no such provision in law.

Our MP further requested that in the spirit of transparency, a meeting should be called in the presence of BLA1 of all parties, in which all objectors be called for a physical meeting at the DEO/ ERO's office; wherein the identity and the alleged objections/claims filed against their names can be verified. It is with disappointment that we report that even such a small request of ours was denied by DEO.

Contd...5...



-5-

Finally on our MP's insistence, the DEO agreed to at least make phone calls to all objectors, in order to conduct a telephonic verification. We agreed to that. However, we demanded that the phone calls be made in presence of all parties. To this simple and genuine request also, the DEO and ERO refused. They said that phone calls will be made in strict confidence. The next statement of the DEO and ERO was the most shocking. They said that even if some objector states in the phone calls that he has not made any request, they would still proceed with deletions on the basis of his applications unless he physically appears and gives in writing.

It must be clear from above that there is a huge electoral scam going on in New Delhi Assembly to illegally delete votes of genuine voters. The objectors and objectees, both appear to be fake. It is worrying that the DEO and ERO are refusing to investigate what is clearly a large-scale voter fraud.

It is also worth mentioning here that when Aam Aadmi Party conducted its sample survey in order to trace the voters whose votes are sought to be deleted by such applications, we found that most of those people are supporters and voters of Aam Aadmi Party. Therefore, it leaves no doubt in our minds that this mass deletion exercise is systematically targeted towards the Aam Aadmi Party's voters.

I would also like to report and as you may have noticed from the first table mentioned above, that unprecedented number of voters are seeking to register themselves as Voters in AC-40, New Delhi Assembly Constituency. After a two-month long summary revision process, coupled with multiple voter enrolment drives conducted by the election commission, it is difficult to believe that such large number of people have been kept out of the voting process. I smell a rat here. It is felt that such large enrolments (under Form 6) of voters, which is roughly 12.4% of the total votes in the constituency, is being done to influence the free and fair elections, through manipulated voter lists. It is worth noting, that in particular the voter enrolment applications (form 6) submitted from 16<sup>th</sup> Dec to 3<sup>rd</sup> Jan is a whopping 10,500 applications. This is an alarming number. In comparison to this, only a meagre 2,776 voter enrolment applications were submitted from 29<sup>th</sup> Oct to 15<sup>th</sup> Dec. Who are these 10,500 people? These surely can't be genuine voters. Sir, it is in this regard that we urge you to kindly issue strict instructions, that just as in the process of deletion, a prior joint inspection is done by the BLO/ERO along with BLAs of political parties, similarly for any potential addition, a transparent and participative joint inspection by the BLO/AERO along with the BLAs of political parties must be done. This joint inspection for additions, must be made mandatory and be followed in letter and spirit across the NCT of Delhi.

Contd...6.



-6-

We are writing this letter with a sense of urgency. If immediate intervention is not done, these officers will delete names of several thousand genuine voters. We are grateful for your positive intervention last time when we met you. It is only after your intervention that the participation of political party representatives was made possible. You had also assured us that no mass deletions will be made before elections. We have very high hopes from you, Sir; as you are the beacon of hope for free & fair elections in this country. Whereas such mass deletion exercises have stopped in rest of Delhi, however the officers of New Delhi assembly are still going ahead.

Therefore, we seek your immediate intervention on the following issues:

1. No hearings on voter deletion should take place without prior physical verification by the ERO.
2. All hearings conducted so far - without prior verification by the ERO - should be considered null and void.
3. Verification of the authenticity of objectors to be carried out before the exercise of voter deletion is done.
4. Criminal investigation to be initiated in cases of fraudulent identities being used for voter deletions.
5. Presence of BLAs of all political parties during the field verification of voter additions.

We also seek to meet you to explain the whole issue. Kindly grant us an audience at your earliest convenience.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,



(Atishi)

**Shri Rajiv Kumar,**  
**Chief Election Commissioner,**  
Election Commission of India,  
Nirvachan Sadan,  
New Delhi-110001